

# Elliptic operators

Let  $X$  be a closed oriented manifold of dimension  $n$ . Let  $E \rightarrow X$  and  $F \rightarrow X$  be two Euclidean vector bundles. A *differential operator*  $D$  of degree  $d$  is an operator between smooth sections

$$D : \Gamma(X; E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X; F)$$

such that in local coordinates,  $D$  is of the form

$$D = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} a_\alpha(x) \partial_\alpha,$$

where  $a_\alpha$  are smooth functions with values in  $\text{Hom}(E, F)$ . Specifically, given local frames  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^k$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\ell$  of  $E$  and  $F$  respectively, we can locally write  $s \in \Gamma(X; E)$  as  $s = \sum_{i=1}^k s^i(x) e_i$  for smooth scalar functions  $s^i(x)$ . Then

$$Ds = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,j} a_i^{\alpha j}(x) (\partial_\alpha s^i) f_j.$$

The replacement for the Fourier transform associated to  $D$  is called the *principal symbol*  $\sigma(D)$  of  $D$ . It is a smooth function on  $T^*X$  which on each fiber is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  with coefficients in  $\text{Hom}(E, F)$ . For local coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  on  $X$ , there are corresponding local coordinates on  $T^*X$  given by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ , where  $p_i$  are the coordinates dual to the  $dx^i$ . The local formula for  $\sigma(D)$  is

$$\sigma(D, x_1, \dots, x_n, p_1, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} a^\alpha(x) p_\alpha.$$

This function is well-defined on  $T^*X$  and is independent of coordinates.

**Example.** Let  $\Delta : \Omega^k(X) \rightarrow \Omega^k(X)$  be the Hodge Laplacian. Then

$$\sigma(\Delta, x, p) = -|p|^2 \text{Id}_{\Omega^k}.$$

**Definition.** A linear differential operator  $D$  is *elliptic* if  $\sigma(D, x, p) \in \text{Iso}(E, F)$  whenever  $p \neq 0$ .

Clearly the Hodge Laplacian is elliptic since  $\sigma(\Delta, x, p)$  is a nonzero multiple of the identity when  $p \neq 0$ .

Consider the operator  $d : \Omega^k(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(X)$ . The symbol is

$$\sigma(d, x, p) = p \wedge \bullet : \Lambda^k T_x^* X \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} T_x^* X,$$

thus  $d$  is *not* elliptic, since  $p$  is in the kernel of  $p \wedge \bullet$ .

Another example of an operator which is not elliptic is  $d^* : \Omega^k(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(X)$ . Its symbol is

$$\sigma(d^*, x, p) = -i_p : \Lambda^k T_x^* X \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1} T_x^* X,$$

where  $i_p$  is the contraction map is defined as the alternating sum

$$i_p(p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k) := \langle p, p_1 \rangle p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k - \langle p, p_2 \rangle p_1 \wedge p_3 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k + \dots$$

In contrast, the operator  $d + d^* : \Omega^{\text{even}}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{\text{odd}}(X)$  is elliptic, since its symbol is

$$\sigma(d + d^*, x, p) = c(p) := -i_p(\bullet) + p \wedge \bullet : \Lambda^{\text{even}} T_x^* X \rightarrow \Lambda^{\text{odd}} T_x^* X.$$

Since  $(d + d^*)^2 = \Delta$  and symbols compose as expected under composition of operators,  $c(p)$  satisfies the Clifford algebra relation

$$c(p)^2 = -|p|^2 \text{Id}_{\Lambda \bullet},$$

and is thus invertible (with inverse  $-c(p/|p|^2)$ ) whenever  $p \neq 0$ .

If  $D$  is an elliptic operator, then its formal adjoint  $D^*$  is given by

$$D^* = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial_\alpha a_\alpha^t(x),$$

where  $a_\alpha^t$  is the transpose of  $a_\alpha$ . The multiplication operator  $a_\alpha^t$  and differentiation operator  $\partial_\alpha$  commute modulo operators of order  $d - 1$ . Thus  $\sigma(D^*) = (-1)^d \sigma(D)^t$ . Furthermore,  $D$  is elliptic iff  $D^*$  is elliptic.

Elliptic operators have finite-dimensional kernels, and like we saw in the Hodge decomposition, their images are closed. Furthermore, the cokernel  $\Gamma(F)/(\text{image } D)$  can be identified with  $(\text{image } D)^\perp = \ker D^*$  which is also finite-dimensional. Thus we have a well defined *index*

$$\text{ind}(D) = \dim \ker(D) - \dim \text{coker}(D).$$

The Atiyah-Singer index theorem gives an explicit cohomological formula to compute  $\text{ind}(D)$  for any elliptic operator  $D$  in terms of cohomology. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}(d + d^* : \Omega^{\text{even}}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{\text{odd}}(X)) &= \dim \ker(d + d^*) - \dim \ker((d + d^*)^*) \\ &= \dim \mathcal{H}^{\text{even}}(X) - \dim \mathcal{H}^{\text{odd}}(X) \\ &= \chi(X) \end{aligned}$$

is cohomological.

The theory of pseudodifferential operators, which is beyond the scope of this course, allows one to show that if  $D$  is an elliptic differential operator of order  $D$ , then there exists a pseudodifferential operator  $Q$  of order  $-d$  (roughly, constructed by inverting the symbol of  $D$ ) which continuously maps the Sobolev spaces  $H^s \rightarrow H^{s+d}$ , and such that

$$QD = \text{Id} + K,$$

where  $K$  is a smoothing operator. Specifically, we mean that  $K : H^s \rightarrow C^\infty$ , and for each  $s'$  we have an estimate of the form  $\|Kf\|_{s'} \leq C_{K,s,s'} \|f\|_{s'}$ . We now deduce the elliptic estimate

$$\|f\|_{H^{s+d}} \leq C_{D,s} (\|Df\|_{H^s} + \|f\|_{H^s})$$

as follows:

$$\|f\|_{H^{s+d}} = \|QDf - Kf\|_{H^{s+d}} \leq C_{Q,s} \|Df\|_{H^s} + C_{K,s,s+d} \|f\|_{H^s}.$$

## Proof of outstanding lemmas

Recall our definition of Sobolev spaces over the  $n$ -torus  $T^n$  based on the Fourier coefficients, given by

$$\begin{aligned} H^s(T^n) &:= \left\{ \{c_k\} \mid \sum (1+|k|)^{2s} |c_k|^2 < \infty \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \{c_k\} \mid (1+|k|)^s c_k \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n) \right\} \\ &= (1+|k|)^{-s} \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n). \end{aligned}$$

It's easy to verify that

$$\partial_i : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-1}$$

is continuous,  $(1 + \Delta) : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$  is an isomorphism, and more generally,  $(\Delta - \lambda) : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$  for all  $\lambda$  which are *not* eigenvalues of  $\Delta$ . Finally, the Riesz representation theorem states that the  $L^2$  pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \sum_k c_k \bar{d}_k$$

is a perfect pairing, meaning that every element of  $(L^2)^*$  arises as the inner product with some element of  $L^2$ . If  $f \in H^s(T^n)$  with Fourier series  $\{c_k\}$ , and if  $g \in H^{-s}(T^n)$  with Fourier series  $\{d_k\}$ , then  $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2}$  makes sense because

$$\|\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2}\| = \sum_k c_k \bar{d}_k = \sum_k (1+|k|)^s c_k (1+|k|)^{-s} \bar{d}_k \leq \|f\|_{H^s} \|g\|_{H^{-s}} < \infty,$$

where the  $\leq$  is Cauchy-Schwarz. Moreover, since  $\{(1+|k|)^s c_k\}$  is in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ , any element of  $(H^s)^*$  is represented as an inner product with some  $\{(1+|k|)^{-s} d_k\}$  in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ , i.e.

$$(H^s)^* = H^{-s}.$$

**Definition.** A *distribution* on  $T^n$  is an element of

$$\mathcal{D}(T^n) := \bigcup_{s \rightarrow -\infty} H^s(T^n).$$

*Remark.* For any  $f \in \mathcal{D}(T^n)$ , there is some (potentially very negative)  $s$  such that  $f \in H^s(T^n)$ . Consequently, via the  $L^2$  pairing,  $f$  determines a continuous linear functional on  $H^{-s}(T^n)$ , which restricts to a continuous linear functional on  $C^\infty(T^n)$  (continuous with respect to each  $C^k$ -norm, or equivalently each  $H^s$ -norm). The more common definition of a distribution is an element of the continuous dual  $\mathcal{D}(X) := (C^\infty(X))^*$ , but this description over  $T^n$  in terms of Fourier series is much more transparent. For general manifolds  $X$ , we can obtain a Fourier description of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  by using a partition of unity, and coordinate charts which map to small open subsets of  $T^n$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Remark.* If  $f \in \mathcal{D}(T^n)$ , then  $f \in H^s(T^n)$  for some  $s$ , and thus  $G^k f \in H^{s+2k}(T^n)$  is continuous for sufficiently large  $k$ . It follows that (up to a constant function)  $f = \Delta^k g$  for some  $g \in C^0(T^n)$ . Thus any distribution can be described in terms of the distributional derivatives of some continuous function.

Now let's finish the remaining analytical details. For the elliptic estimate on  $T^n$ ,

$$\|f\|_{H^s} + \|\Delta f\|_{H^s} = \|c_k\|_{H^s} + \||k|^2 c_k\|_{H^s} \sim \|(1+|k|)^s c_k\|_{L^2} + \||k|^2 (1+|k|)^{s+2} c_k\|_{L^2} \sim \|f\|_{H^{s+2}},$$

so

$$\|f\|_{H^{s+2}} \leq C (\|f\|_{H^s} + \|\Delta f\|_{H^s}).$$

For the Sobolev embedding  $H^s(T^n) \hookrightarrow C^0(T^n)$  for  $s > n/2$ , note that  $\|f\|_{H^s} = \sum (1 + |k|)^{2s} |c_k|^2 < \infty$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{C^0} &= \left\| \sum_k c_k e^{ik \cdot x} \right\|_{C^0} \\ &\leq \sum_k |c_k| \\ &= \sum_k (1 + |k|)^s |c_k| (1 + |k|)^{-s} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_k (1 + |k|)^{2s} |c_k|^2} \sqrt{\sum_k (1 + |k|)^{-2s}} \\ &= C \|f\|_{H^s}, \quad C = \|(1 + |k|)^{-s}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is Cauchy-Schwarz. The constant  $C$  is finite because  $(1 + |k|)^{-s} \in \ell^2$  iff  $s > n/2$ .

Note that strict inequality is necessary, because one can check that on the  $n$ -ball of radius  $r = \frac{1}{2}$ , the radial function  $\ln \ln(1/r)$  is in  $H^{n/2}$ . But clearly it is not in  $C^0$  since it is unbounded as  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

For the compactness of  $H^s(T^n) \hookrightarrow H^{s'}(T^n)$  whenever  $s > s'$ , we need to show that given a sequence  $\{f_i\}$  with Fourier coefficients  $\{c_{i,k}\}$ , and if  $\sum_k (1 + |k|)^{2s} |c_{i,k}|^2 \leq C$ , then  $\{(1 + |k|)^{s'} c_{i,k}\}$  has a subsequence which converges in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ . By absorbing  $(1 + |k|)^s$  into  $c_{i,k}$ , we reduce to the case  $s = 0$  and  $s' < 0$ . The point is that if we restrict to finitely many  $k$  at one time, we can pass to a convergent subsequence since the unit ball in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space is compact. Since the weights  $(1 + |k|)^{s'} \rightarrow 0$  as  $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ , we are able to pass to a subsequence for which  $\{(1 + |k|)^{s'} c_{i,k}\}$  is Cauchy in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ . Specifically, for any integer  $I$ , we can demand a subsequence such that for all  $i_1, i_2 \geq I$ ,

$$\sum_{|k| \leq I} |c_{i_1,k} - c_{i_2,k}|^2 \leq 1/I.$$

Furthermore, we can pass to a subsequence where this is true for each  $I$ . Then we need to control

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (1 + |k|)^{2s'} |c_{i_1,k} - c_{i_2,k}|^2 \\ &= \sum_{|k| \leq I} (1 + |k|)^{2s'} |c_{i_1,k} - c_{i_2,k}|^2 + \sum_{|k| > I} (1 + |k|)^{2s'} |c_{i_1,k} - c_{i_2,k}|^2 \\ &\leq 1/I + (1 + I)^{2s'} \cdot C \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } I \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

hence our chosen subsequence is Cauchy.